



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/317,303	05/24/99	DONOHO		В	DONO-7
Г		DM00 /0721	. ¬	EXAMINER	
LEONARD TACHNER 17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE		PM82/0731		LAVIND	ER,J
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 38-E IRVINE CA 92	2614-6364			3644	8
				DATE MAILE	D : 07/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/317,303	DONOHO, BRUCE A.				
navicery neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jack W Lavinder	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2000</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either a for allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, applica Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CF	void abandonment of this applica timely filed amendment which pla cant may obtain further examinat	ation. A proper reply to a aces the application in condition				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR converse whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection. 	o months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07 (I	final rejection,				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notice	e of Appeal and Appeal Brief				
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
6. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	n explanation, if any):				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-6, 8, 9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a)∏has b)∏ has not been appr	oved by the Examiner.				
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement11. Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ᠘	Jack W Lavinder Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3644				

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request to withdraw the finality of the office action mailed on 4-17-00 is denied. The applicant alleges that the examiner has ignored the limitation of "one cutting groove" in claim 9 because he (the examiner) believes that this limitation adds new matter to the specification. The applicant states that "the base comprehends rail 15". Thus, the addition of the notch in the rail is not adding new matter to the specification. The applicants argument is not tenable. The limitations in the claim are directed to the base member having at least one cutting groove. The new matter rejection was directed at the drawings because the drawings and the notch to the rail and not to the base. The applicant would have us believe that the base comprehends the rail. Yet, the specification defines the rail separately from the base. The specification states that "the base member 12 provides a rail 15." The figures show the base as a member, which supports the rail 15. The specification than states that "A notch 19 provides a convenient cutting line at various locations along the base member 12." The phrase along the base indicates that the notch is on the base and not on the rail. Disregarding the new matter issues, the examiner still issued a proper final rejection. The examiner considered all the limitations in the claims. The limitation to "one cutting groove" was not specifically recited in the rejection because it is clear from viewing the reference that Shaw has a cutting groove. The element 30 is the cutting groove in Shaw. Thus, the rejection is proper and was made in response to applicant new claim 9 and the new issues presented by claim 9.